Pages 1 - 7

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

Before The Honorable Jacqueline S. Corley, Magistrate Judge

IN RE: FACEBOOK, INC. CONSUMER)
PRIVACY USER PROFILE LITIGATION.) NO. 18-MD-02843 VC (JSC)

San Francisco, California Tuesday, May 18, 2021

TRANSCRIPT OF ZOOM WEBINAR PROCEEDINGS

APPEARANCES VIA ZOOM:

For Plaintiffs:

KELLER ROHRBACK LLP

1201 Third Avenue - Suite 3200

Seattle, Washington 98101

BY: DEREK LOESER, ATTORNEY AT LAW

CARI LAUFENBERG, ATTORNEY AT LAW

DAVID J. KO, ATTORNEY AT LAW

BLEICHMAR, FONTI & AULD LLP 555 12th Street - Suite 1600

Oakland, California 94607

BY: LESLEY E. WEAVER, ATTORNEY AT LAW

ANNE K. DAVIS, ATTORNEY AT LAW MATTHEW P. MELAMED, ATTORNEY AT LAW

(APPEARANCES CONTINUED ON THE FOLLOWING PAGE)

Reported By: Marla F. Knox, RPR, CRR, RMR

United States Official Court Reporter

1	APPEARANCES VIA ZOOM:	(CONT'D)
2	For Defendant:	GIDGON DIBNI 6 GDIEGUED LID
3		GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER LLP 1881 Page Mill Road
4	BY:	Palo Alto, California 94304 MARTIE KUTSCHER CLARK, ATTORNEY AT LAW
5		GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER LLP 333 South Grand Avenue
6	DV.	Los Angeles, California 90071
7	BI:	DEBORAH L. STEIN, ATTORNEY AT LAW
8		GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER LLP 2100 McKinney Avenue - Suite 1100
9	BY:	Dallas, Texas 75201 RUSSELL H. FALCONER, ATTORNEY AT LAW
10		GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER LLP 200 Park Avenue
11	DV.	New York, New York 10166 ORIN SNYDER, ATTORNEY AT LAW
12	BI:	ORIN SNIDER, AITORNET AT LAW
13	Also Present:	HONORABLE GAIL A. ANDLER
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

1 Tuesday - May 18, 2021 8:31 a.m. PROCEEDINGS 2 ---000---3 THE CLERK: Court is now in session. The Honorable 4 5 Jacqueline Scott Corley presiding. Calling civil action 3:18-MD-2843 In Re: Facebook. 6 Counsel, starting with Plaintiff, can you please state 7 your appearance. 8 MR. LOESER: Sure. Derek Loeser from Keller Rohrback. 9 Also with me from Keller Rohrback are David Ko and Cari 10 Laufenberg. 11 THE COURT: Good morning. 12 MS. WEAVER: Leslie Weaver at Bleichmar Fonti & Auld. 13 With me are Matt Melamed and Anne Davis. 14 15 THE COURT: Good morning. 16 MR. MELAMED: Good morning. 17 MR. SNYDER: Good morning, Your Honor. For Facebook, 18 it's Orin Snyder, Deborah Stein, Martie Kutscher-Clark and Russ 19 Falconer. 20 And we agree that Judge Andler and Mr. Garrie are miracle 21 workers. And we are very grateful to them. THE COURT: Good morning. And good morning, Judge 22 Andler. 23 JUDGE ANDLER: Thank you. Good morning. 24 THE COURT: All right. Well, thanks for your, once 25

```
again, one-page statement. Can't tell you how happy that makes
 1
 2
    me.
          In any event -- and I saw that I will be deciding the
 3
     confidentiality issue, which is perfectly fine.
 4
 5
          Thank you for seeing if you could do something with it,
 6
    but I'm happy to decide that.
          And so what else should we discuss this morning?
 7
              MR. LOESER: Your Honor, I quess just starting with
 8
     that, Facebook I think just needs a date for its reply brief.
 9
              THE COURT: All right. When -- I am starting a
10
11
     three-week jury trial on Thursday.
          So you can file it, but I won't look at it for at least a
12
     couple of weeks. So when would you like to file that,
13
    Mr. Snyder?
14
15
              MR. SNYDER: May I defer to my team because --
16
              THE COURT: -- they will be writing it? Yes.
17
              MS. KUTSCHER-CLARK: We are happy to file it within a
18
     week, Your Honor.
                         Okay, great. All right. We will just
19
              THE COURT:
20
     take the matter under submission. I will let you know or
21
     whenever our next hearing is, if I have to wait until then.
22
              JUDGE ANDLER: And, Judge Corley, if it would be
23
    helpful to you, I would be happy to recommend to Counsel
     offline, perhaps, somebody to do a report and recommendation to
24
25
     you on the confidentiality issue.
```

Because of my role as mediator, I don't think it was appropriate to suggest that I would do it; but I still think that there is some value to that process if you wanted a master on the confidentiality or privilege issues.

THE COURT: Well, I would only -- if the parties agree, I would say sure, I would be happy to have that.

But I want the parties to agree because this process is a completely voluntary process. So I leave that completely up to the parties.

And it would have to be agreement on both sides. Thank you.

Okay. Anything else we should discuss, Mr. Loeser?

MR. LOESER: Sure. And we are pleased with the progress. I mean, obviously there is a lot to do. There is a lot of work that still needs to happen, and there is a lot of progress that we hope to have going forward.

One thing that we have started talking about is the schedule and the -- there is lots of disagreement as to the interim dates, but the parties are not that far off on a proposed trial date.

We had suggested May of 2023, which is, you know, our effort to acknowledge the fact that this process has been slow; and there is not much production really out of the total production that will occur.

I believe Facebook was thinking something like the summer

of 2023. So I don't think we are that far off on a possible trial date. And then all the dates would get filled in back from there.

So what the parties are working on now, we hope to have something soon that we can submit. Not sure really how the Court would respond to that schedule.

And I'm sure we will get some feedback from you and from Judge Chhabria soon at the CMC.

THE COURT: When is the CMC? You know, if the parties -- if the CMC is too early, just let him know.

We sort of -- I know -- he scheduled that CMC before he had spoken to me; but if you wanted to put it off, you know, a couple weeks or whatever it is until you had something more definitive, he said that was fine if you stipulated to it.

MR. LOESER: Okay. I think from the Plaintiffs'
perspective we are happy to have the conference at the new
date. I think it is the 27th. But obviously we will talk to
Facebook and see what their view is.

THE COURT: It might be useful because you may be somewhat having this conflict about the trial date, and he has something different in mind or he is going to be definitive in it anyway. So why not figure out what he says.

MR. LOESER: Right, we agree.

That's really all I have on my list. And if we can make this quick so that it ends before you get frustrated with us,

```
that would be great.
 1
              THE COURT: Oh, I never get frustrated with you, do I?
 2
                                (Laughter)
 3
                          All right. So we should probably schedule
              THE COURT:
 4
 5
     a next date because I do think it is helpful; right. It gives
     you something to work towards in terms of also scheduling your
 6
     time with Judge Andler.
 7
          Would you like to come back in about a month, the week of
 8
     June 21st?
 9
          Mr. Snyder gave me a thumbs up. Does that work for the
10
     Plaintiffs?
11
              MS. WEAVER: That's fine.
12
13
              THE COURT: How about Wednesday, June 23rd at
     8:30 a.m.?
14
              MR. SNYDER: That's great for Facebook. Thank you,
15
16
     Judge.
17
              THE COURT: All right. And I should have the
     confidentiality decided by then. If I need oral argument, then
18
     just be prepared on the 23rd to address it.
19
20
                              (No response.)
              THE COURT:
                          Great. Well, thank you very much.
21
                           Thank you, Your Honor.
22
              MS. WEAVER:
              MR. LOESER: Thank you, Your Honor.
23
                   (Proceedings adjourned at 8:36 a.m.)
24
25
                                ---000---
```

CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER We certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript from the record of proceedings in the above-entitled matter. DATE: Wednesday, May 19, 2021 Marla Krox Marla F. Knox, RPR, CRR, RMR U.S. Court Reporter